Case: 17-40884 Document: 00514189250 Page: 1 Date Filed: 10/10/2017

No. 17-40884

## IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

No. 17-40884

MARC VEASEY; JANE HAMILTON; SERGIO DELEON; FLOYD CARRIER; ANNA BURNS; MICHAEL MONTEZ; PENNY POPE; OSCAR ORTIZ; KOBY OZIAS; LEAGUE OF UNITED LATIN AMERICAN CITIZENS; JOHN MELLOR-CRUMMEY; DALLAS COUNTY, TEXAS; GORDON BENJAMIN; KEN GANDY; EVELYN BRICKNER,

Plaintiffs - Appellees

v.

GREG ABBOTT, in his Official Capacity as Governor of Texas; ROLANDO PABLOS, in his Official Capacity as Texas Secretary of State; STATE OF TEXAS; STEVE MCCRAW, in his Official Capacity as Director of the Texas Department of Public Safety,

Defendants - Appellants

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee

TEXAS LEAGUE OF YOUNG VOTERS EDUCATION FUND; IMANI CLARK,

Intervenor Plaintiffs - Appellees

v.

STATE OF TEXAS; ROLANDO PABLOS, in his Official Capacity as Texas Secretary of State; STEVE MCCRAW, in his Official Capacity as Director of the Texas Department of Public Safety,

Defendants - Appellants

Case: 17-40884 Document: 00514189250 Page: 2 Date Filed: 10/10/2017 No. 17-40884 TEXAS STATE CONFERENCE OF NAACP BRANCHES: MEXICAN AMERICAN LEGISLATIVE CAUCUS, TEXAS HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, Plaintiffs - Appellees v. ROLANDO PABLOS, in his Official Capacity as Texas Secretary of State; STEVE MCCRAW, in his Official Capacity as Director of the Texas Department of Public Safety, Defendants - Appellants LENARD TAYLOR; EULALIO MENDEZ, JR.; LIONEL ESTRADA; ESTELA GARCIA ESPINOSA; MAXIMINA MARTINEZ LARA; LA UNION DEL PUEBLO ENTERO, INCORPORATED, Plaintiffs - Appellees v. STATE OF TEXAS; ROLANDO PABLOS, in his Official Capacity as Texas Secretary of State; STEVE MCCRAW, in his Official Capacity as Director of the Texas Department of Public Safety, Defendants - Appellants

No. 17-40884

ORDER:

A member of the court in active service having requested a poll on the Petition for Initial En Banc, based on 28 U.S.C. 46(c), FRAP 35(a),(f), and Court Policy 7E, and a majority of the judges in active service and not disqualified not having voted in favor (Fed. R. App. P. 35 and 5th Cir. R. 35), hearing en banc is DENIED.

In the en banc poll, four judges voted in favor of hearing (Judges Jones, Smith, Dennis, and Elrod), and ten judges voted against (Chief Judge Stewart and Judges Jolly, Clement, Prado, Owen, Southwick, Haynes, Graves, Higginson, and Costa).

ENTERED FOR THE COURT:

<u>\_\_/s/ Carl E. Stewart</u> UNITED STATES CIRCUIT JUDGE Case: 17-40884 Document: 00514189250 Page: 4 Date Filed: 10/10/2017

JERRY E. SMITH, Circuit Judge, dissenting from the denial of initial hearing en banc:

The plaintiffs resourcefully petition for initial en banc hearing. The court imprudently denies that request, which the state and the United States oppose. I respectfully dissent.

A petition for initial en banc review is unusual and is rarely granted.<sup>1</sup> Even aside from the practical limitations on the en banc court because of volume, a three-judge panel reliably serves as the first, and usually the last, appellate arbiter by distilling the issues so that the full court can decide whether en banc rehearing should follow.

That "business as usual" approach is undoubtedly the primary basis for this decision to deny initial en banc hearing. The majority's intent is benign, its result unfortunate. The elephant in the room is Texas's 2018 election schedule, which includes statewide primaries on March 6 (with early voting beginning February 20), municipal elections May 5 (early voting April 22), primary runoffs May 22 (early voting May 14), and the general election November 6 (early voting October 22).

The lopsided vote to deny en banc hearing shows that the court has little appetite for disposing of this important case in advance of the beginning of the 2018 election cycle. This court regularly schedules, at most, only three en banc sessions, widely spread through the calendar year. After a panel hears this case and eventually renders a decision, the losing side predictably will petition

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> But the procedure has its proper role. *See United States v. Escalante-Reyes*, 675 F.3d 832 (5th Cir. 2012) (per curiam) (en banc) (announcing *sua sponte* decision "to initially consider this case en banc").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> In this very case, the Supreme Court "recognize[d] the time constraints the parties confront in light of the scheduled elections in November, 2016." *Veasey v. Abbott*, 136 S. Ct. 1823, 1823 (2016) (per curiam) (order denying motion to vacate stay).

Case: 17-40884 Document: 00514189250 Page: 5 Date Filed: 10/10/2017

for en banc rehearing. If that is granted (as it was in the first appeal), the procedural steps will consume enough time that it is impossible for a decision to be issued before some, if not all, of the 2018 elections are history.<sup>3</sup> That would not necessarily be so if initial en banc hearing were to be granted now, bypassing the need for a panel.

Every judge is commendably dedicated to giving this significant case a full hearing and rendering a fair result. The process of deciding how best to go about that is an art, not a science. Albeit with good intentions, a healthy majority of the en banc court has missed the mark. I respectfully dissent.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> This glum assessment takes no account of further delay from a petition for certiorari or motion for stay. *See Abbott v. Veasey*, 137 S. Ct. 612, 613 (2017) (per curiam) (statement by Roberts, C.J., respecting the denial of certiorari) (noting that "[t]he issues will be better suited for certiorari review" at a later time).

Date Filed: 10/10/2017 Case: 17-40884 Document: 00514189258 Page: 1

## United States Court of Appeals

FIFTH CIRCUIT OFFICE OF THE CLERK

LYLE W. CAYCE **CLERK** 

TEL. 504-310-7700 600 S. MAESTRI PLACE **NEW ORLEANS, LA 70130** 

October 10, 2017

MEMORANDUM TO COUNSEL OR PARTIES LISTED BELOW:

Marc Veasey, et al v. Greg Abbott, et al USDC No. 2:13-CV-193No. 17-40884

USDC No. 2:13-CV-263 USDC No. 2:13-CV-291 USDC No. 2:13-CV-348

Enclosed is an order entered in this case.

Sincerely,

LYLE W. CAYCE, Clerk Jem de Montlusin

By:

James deMontluzin, Deputy Clerk

504-310-7679

Ms. Leah Camille Aden

Ms. Anna Marks Baldwin

Mr. J. Campbell Barker

Mr. Neil G. Baron

Mr. Thomas Evans Chandler

Ms. Jennifer Clark

Ms. Lindsey Beth Cohan

Mrs. Angela Veronica Colmenero

Mr. Daniel Gavin Covich

Mr. Armand G. Derfner

Mr. Kelly Patrick Dunbar Mr. Chad Wilson Dunn

Ms. Tania Faransso
Ms. Diana Katherine Flynn
Mr. Matthew Hamilton Frederick

Mr. Gregory Bryan Friel Mr. Mark P. Gaber

Mr. Jose Garza Mr. Bruce I. Gear

Mr. John Matthew Gore

Mr. Jon Marshall Greenbaum

Mr. J. Gerald Hebert

Mr. Scott A. Keller

Ms. Shoshana J. Krieger

Case: 17-40884 Document: 00514189258 Page: 2 Date Filed: 10/10/2017

- Mr. Jason R. LaFond Ms. Danielle Marie Lang Ms. Cara McClellan

- Ms. Cara McClellan
  Ms. Coty Rae Montag
  Ms. Janai S. Nelson
  Ms. Priscilla Noriega
  Mr. Jonathan Edward Paikin
  Ms. Myrna Perez
  Mr. Sidney Samuel Rosdeitcher I
  Mr. Ezra D. Rosenberg
  Mr. Deuel Ross
  Mr. John Albert Smith III

- Mr. John Albert Smith III
  Mr. Paul March Smith
  Mr. Neil A. Steiner
  Mr. Luis Roberto Vera Jr.
  Ms. Michelle Yeary